

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

ROBERT McCONNELL,

Petitioner,

v.

WILLIAM GITTERE, *et al.*,

Respondents.

Case No. 3:10-cv-00021-GMN-CSD

**ORDER GRANTING
MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME
(ECF NO. 140)**

In this capital habeas corpus action, the respondents filed a motion to dismiss on May 28, 2021 (ECF No. 122). The petitioner, Robert McConnell, represented by appointed counsel, filed an opposition to the motion to dismiss (ECF No. 128) and a motion for evidentiary hearing (ECF No. 130) on October 18, 2021. Then, after an initial 30-day period, a 58-day extension of time, a 21-day extension of time, and a 14-day extension of time, Respondents were due to file a reply in support of their motion to dismiss and a response to the motion for evidentiary hearing by February 18, 2022. See Order entered December 4, 2019 (ECF No. 91) (allowing 30 days for such filings); Order entered November 7, 2021 (ECF No. 134) (58-day extension); Order entered January 12, 2022 (ECF No. 136) (21-day extension); Order entered February 3, 2022 (ECF No. 139) (14-day extension).

On February 18, 2022, Respondents filed a motion for extension of time (ECF Nos. 140), requesting a further 7-day extension, to February 25, 2022. Respondents' counsel states that this extension of time is necessary to completely address all of McConnell's arguments in a manner that will allow the Court to understand and resolve the issues. McConnell does not oppose the motion for extension of time.

1 The Court finds that Respondents' motion for extension of time is made in good
2 faith and not solely for the purpose of delay, and that there is good cause for the
3 requested extension of time.

4 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that Respondents' Motion for an Enlargement
5 of Time (ECF No. 140) is **GRANTED**. Respondents will have until and including
6 **February 25, 2022**, to file their reply in support of their motion to dismiss and their
7 response to Petitioner's motion for evidentiary hearing.

8 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that, in all other respects, the schedule for further
9 proceedings set forth in the order entered December 4, 2019 (ECF No. 91) will remain
10 in effect.

11 DATED THIS 22 day of February, 2022.

12
13 
14 _____
15 GLORIA M. NAVARRO
16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28